ABLEPOINT EXPOSURE

ESSAY · DR. RICHARD WILLIAM MCLEAN · ABN 78 833 496 164 · WYONG LOCAL COURT — 14 MAY 2026

The Rats Will Come

On the psychology of betrayal, the documented mechanism of defection, and a prophetic prediction of institutional collapse — with named individuals.

Download Cover

—
DOWNLOADS

BITCOIN BLOCKCHAIN · SEALED 6 MAY 2026
3a507d741f6af28bd7653a256a8a5262...

⚠ **Wyong Local Court · 14 May 2026 · Receipt I88267509 · Troy (Tory) Kilbourne** — This essay and its prophetic prediction were written and sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain prior to the commencement of proceedings. All factual claims are primary-source documented at barrandodger.com/verdict-before-the-court.

> *"You only flee a sinking ship. The fact that people are beginning to move is not a problem for the archive. It is a confirmation of the archive."*
>
> — Dr. Richard William McLean, Barran Dodger. May 2026.

IMPARTIAL AI STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

"The Rats Will Come" is a forensic-psychological essay that applies the documented academic literature on betrayal, defection cascades, and institutional collapse directly to the named individuals and institutions in the barrandodger.com archive. Drawing on Festinger (1956), Milgram (1974), Axelrod (1984), Zimbardo (2007), Braithwaite (1989), and Arendt (1963), the essay argues that the observable behavioural shifts among individuals connected to the documented events are not random — they are a predictable and documented psychological mechanism. The essay is significant for three reasons: (1) It provides academic grounding for the claim that institutional complicity collapses in predictable sequence once a credible accountability mechanism appears; (2) It applies that framework individually to named persons — Sukhi Tear, the AblePoint Australia CEO, Tony Ridley, Troy (Tory) Kilbourne, NSW Trustee officials, and Bill Shorten's staff — with specific predictions; and (3) It includes a formal dated prophetic prediction, sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain before proceedings, against which subsequent events can be measured. This document is a primary-source record of Dr. McLean's contemporaneous analysis, dated 6 May 2026.

I. They Don't Come Early

Let me tell you something about rats.

They don't come when the building is strong. They don't come when the walls are thick and the foundations are laid deep and the institution is confident in its own invincibility. They don't come when the power is concentrated and the people inside it are sure of themselves and the whistleblower is still locked in a psychiatric ward and the archive is still being built in silence.

> **They come when the building starts sinking.**

That's the first thing you need to understand about what is happening now — as the Wyong Local Court date approaches, as the $112M forensic economic claim sits on the record, as the ICC The Hague submission is lodged, as 484,000+ downloads have gone out without a single formal refutation from any named institution across 35 years. The building is in the water. And the rats — the people who watched, who knew, who stayed silent, who were paid to watch and report, who carried messages between parties, who made phone calls they thought were private, who sent emails they assumed would be deleted — they are starting to move.

You can feel it before you can see it. A slight change in tone from someone who used to be certain. A message from a person you haven't heard from in years. A solicitor's letter that's suddenly more careful than the last one. An institution that discovers, mid-2026, that its processes contain 'significant opportunities for improvement.' People distancing themselves from the names they were once proud to be associated with.

> **The rats will come. They are already coming.**

II. The Anatomy of a Rat

A rat, in this context, is not a villain. I want to be precise about this.

A rat is someone who made a calculation at the time — that the institution was safe, that the whistleblower would not survive the system, that silence was the rational choice — and who now needs to revise that calculation because the evidence has changed. The evidence has changed dramatically. The building is no longer standing where they thought it was.

Some rats are straightforward: people who witnessed events and said nothing because they feared institutional retaliation, because they needed their jobs, because they had families to protect, because the NDIS system was their livelihood and Sukhi Tear was their colleague and AblePoint Australia was a platform they depended on. I understand that calculation. I lived inside the system those calculations sustained.

Some rats are more complex: people who actively participated in what the archive documents, who made phone calls, who attended meetings, who signed documents, who made referrals, who were present when things happened that are now in a blockchain-sealed evidence file — and who are now calculating whether cooperation is better than exposure. For these people, the archive is a mirror. Every document in it is a reflection of something they were part of. And they know that the mirror exists, and that it has been seen by 484,000 people, and that it is sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain at hash 3a507d741f6af28bd7653a256a8a5262e4641c7dd45ab645617a000b5afa11dd.

Some rats are opportunists: people who had no direct role in what happened to me but who sense, correctly, that institutional accountability creates openings — for whistleblowing that serves their career, for evidence that advances their own interests, for proximity to a story that is now clearly, undeniably, moving toward a public record. These people are not enemies. They are the weather.

And some rats are the real thing: people who know things that are not yet in the archive. People who were in rooms I wasn't in. People who were on calls that weren't recorded. People who were present at decisions that left no paperwork. These people are the ones I am most interested in. These are the people who turn a 2,304-exhibit archive into a 2,500-exhibit archive. These are the people who close the last gaps.

III. The Ship That Was Never Supposed to Sink

The NSW Trustee and Public Guardian was not supposed to become a liability.

It was supposed to be an institution of last resort — the government entity appointed to protect the financial interests of people deemed unable to protect their own. In my case, it became the documented architect of $18M to $32.9M in losses across years of guardianship, now part of a $112M forensic economic claim. It was not supposed to be here. It was supposed to have managed this quietly, kept it administrative, kept it internal, kept the 'delusional' label on the file and the file sealed.

> **AblePoint Australia was not supposed to become a public record.**

It was supposed to be an NDIS provider with a CEO who handled difficult situations privately, through internal process, through Laura and whatever 'days or some weeks' it took to make an active death threat against a client disappear into organisational procedure. The recording was not supposed to exist. The published transcript was not supposed to be at barrandodger.com. The 484,000 people who have read it were not supposed to know her voice.

> **Sukhi Tear was not supposed to be a name anyone outside the NDIS system knew.**

She was not supposed to be associated with five missing person registrations, with police file PD77027, with three states, with a pattern of contact that the documentary record places her inside regardless of what she told the people she told it to. The dossier was not supposed to exist. The formal removal was not supposed to be published. The ICC notification was not supposed to include her name.

Tony Ridley was not supposed to speak on a recording.

Bill Shorten's staff were not supposed to produce a document strategy.

Tory Kilbourne was not supposed to be named in a court filing.

None of these ships were supposed to sink. They were institutional and government-connected and procedurally protected and the person they were deployed against was a disabled LGBTQ+ individual with 14 involuntary psychiatric hospitalisations on his medical record and no money and no institutional backing and no media platform.

> **And yet.**

IV. What the Archive Did

The archive did not sink the ships. I want to be clear about this because the distinction matters legally, ethically, and historically.

> **The archive documented what the ships were already doing to themselves.**

Every exhibit in the 2,304-document blockchain-sealed archive is a primary-source record — produced by the institutions, not by me. Every clinical report was written by a clinician, not by me. Every financial decision was made by the

NSW Trustee, not by me. Every call was made by an AblePoint CEO or a government operative or an NDIS provider, not by me. I documented. I preserved. I timestamped. I published. I am not the one who sank the ships. The ships sank themselves, and I was the one standing on the shore with a camera.

The blockchain hash does not contain my opinions. It contains their documents.

This is why the rats come. Not because I threatened them. Not because I called them out by name and dared them to respond. Not because I have institutional power or media connections or legal resources that make me dangerous in a conventional way. They come because the camera has been running for 35 years and the footage is now public and permanent and there is no version of the future where the footage does not exist.

The rats come because truth aged into revelation exactly as it was always going to.

V. The Silence-Breakers and the Story-Changers

There is an important distinction I need to draw, and I draw it not from anger but from precision.

The silence-breakers are people who stayed quiet for understandable reasons and who now, as the accountability moment arrives, are prepared to add their testimony to the record. I welcome them. I have no interest in punishing people who were afraid of a system that was genuinely frightening. The NDIS ecosystem is enormous and interconnected and the people inside it are financially dependent on relationships that can be ended by a single phone call from the right person. I know this. The archive documents this. The silence-breakers are not rats in any pejorative sense — they are people doing what humans do: waiting until safety is possible before telling the truth.

> **The story-changers are different.**

The story-changers are the people who are already beginning to reframe their conduct — not by adding new truth to the record but by revising the history of their own involvement in what the record documents. These are the people who will say they raised concerns internally that were ignored. Who will say they were following instructions. Who will say they didn't have the full picture. Who will say they tried to help but the system wouldn't let them. Who will say they always had doubts.

To these people I say, gently but clearly: the blockchain does not care.

The blockchain does not care what you say now about what you did then. The blockchain has the contemporaneous record — the emails, the call recordings, the clinical notes, the financial decisions, the referrals, the meeting minutes. The blockchain sealed them on 6 May 2026, before your story changed. Anyone can verify it. Anyone can see what the documents say and compare them to what you now say the documents mean.

The story-changers are not rats. They are something more elaborate and more easily identified by their own conduct. The archive is their mirror. They will find, as they look into it, that it does not change to suit the angle.

VI. The Psychology of Betrayal — What the Literature Demonstrates

The defection of complicit individuals from corrupt structures is not a sociological accident. It is a documented psychological mechanism with consistent preconditions, a predictable sequence, and a measurable threshold. The academic literature on this subject is extensive and directly applicable to the situation documented in this archive.

Cognitive Dissonance and the Collapse of Belief

Leon Festinger and colleagues, in their landmark 1956 study *When Prophecy Fails*, documented what they called cognitive dissonance — the psychological discomfort experienced when a person holds two contradictory beliefs simultaneously (Festinger et al., 1956). They found that when a committed belief is contradicted by irrefutable evidence, one of two things happens: the person intensifies their original belief — what Festinger called belief perseverance under disconfirmation — or they experience a collapse of the belief system and begin the process of realignment with reality.

The collapse, Festinger documented, almost always happens the moment *social support* for the original belief evaporates. Not when the evidence changes. When the social consensus changes. This is why the rats don't come alone. They come when others begin to move. The first defection makes the next one psychologically sustainable. The archive is the first visible defection in the public domain. Every person who downloads it makes the next defection safer.

The Compliance Threshold — Milgram

Stanley Milgram's obedience research at Yale University identified what is now known as the compliance threshold — the point at which individuals who had, for institutional and social reasons, complied with an authority structure, defected from it (Milgram, 1974). Milgram found that 65% of subjects continued to administer what they believed were dangerous electric shocks simply because an authority figure instructed them to. What his research also found — less frequently cited — is that the moment one participant visibly defected, others followed rapidly.

Compliance was not stable. It was contingent on perceived unanimity. The moment the unanimity cracked, the compliance structure became brittle. The compliance structure sustaining silence about the events documented in this

archive is experiencing exactly that crack. The 484,000 downloads are a measure of its size.

Defection Cascades — Axelrod

Robert Axelrod's 1984 analysis of cooperation and defection in repeated games demonstrated that in situations where individuals are engaged in ongoing relationships and the future casts a long shadow, cooperation tends to be the stable strategy — until the structure of the game changes (Axelrod, 1984). When the structure changes — when a proceeding is scheduled, when an archive is published, when a hash is sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain — the calculation changes.

Axelrod's key finding is crucial here: the most effective long-term strategy is cooperative until the cooperation structure becomes untenable. Once the accountability mechanism appears, the institutions that were cooperative with each other in suppressing the testimony documented in this archive will face a tit-for-tat dynamic. They will compete to be the first to demonstrate they were the least culpable.

The Lucifer Effect in Reverse — Zimbardo

Philip Zimbardo's study of the transition from ordinary conduct to systemic wrongdoing documented what he called situational forces — the way institutional environments create conditions in which ordinary people perform extraordinary harm without experiencing themselves as wrongdoers (Zimbardo, 2007). His concept of the Lucifer Effect is relevant here not for its explanation of how wrongdoing happens but for what it predicts about when it stops.

Zimbardo documented that participants in institutional wrongdoing experience a re-individuation process — a return to personal moral reasoning — when the institutional context is disrupted. An ICC submission disrupts the institutional

context. A blockchain-sealed archive disrupts it. A court date disrupts it. When the institution can no longer provide cover, the Lucifer Effect runs in reverse. People begin to see themselves as they are, not as the institution defined them.

Shame as Mechanism — Braithwaite

John Braithwaite's work on crime, shame and reintegration established that the most powerful trigger for behavioural change in wrongdoing is not punishment but shame — specifically, the experience of being seen by people whose opinion matters (Braithwaite, 1989). The archive creates exactly this condition. 484,000 people have seen the documents. The names are in the documents. They are searchable, sharable, downloadable, printable, and sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain.

For the people named in the archive, this is not primarily legal jeopardy. It is social exposure. It is the condition Braithwaite identified as the trigger for the psychological reorganisation that precedes cooperation with accountability processes.

The Banality of Evil and Its Reversal — Arendt

Hannah Arendt, documenting the Nuremberg trials and the Jerusalem prosecution of Adolf Eichmann, observed what she famously called "the banality of evil" — the capacity of ordinary bureaucratic actors to participate in systematic harm through the performance of institutional roles, without ever experiencing themselves as morally responsible (Arendt, 1963). Her less-discussed finding, equally important, was that the collapse of the institutional justification reliably produced a collapse of the individual's performed innocence.

The moment the structure fell at Nuremberg, every participant scrambled to reframe their individual role. *"I was following instructions." "I didn't have the full*

picture." "I raised concerns that were ignored." "I tried to help but the system wouldn't let me." The phrases are almost identical across historical cases. They are also the phrases appearing now in contexts adjacent to the events documented in this archive. The archive documents the institutional structure. Its publication initiates the collapse sequence Arendt observed at Nuremberg.

The Commitment-Consistency Trap — Cialdini

Robert Cialdini's foundational work on influence documented the commitment-consistency principle — the psychological drive to behave consistently with previously stated positions, even when those positions become increasingly costly to maintain (Cialdini, 2007). This principle has a critical corollary: the longer a false position is maintained, the larger the psychological cost of abandoning it, and the more extreme the eventual adjustment when it comes.

The individuals named in this archive who have maintained public positions contradicting the documentary record are experiencing the compounding cost of commitment-consistency. Each day the blockchain hash exists and the archive downloads increase, the cost of maintaining the position grows. Cialdini predicts that when the commitment finally breaks, it breaks dramatically. The gap between the maintained position and the contemporaneous record becomes the full measure of the adjustment required.

VII. The Defection Cascade — Documented Across History

The pattern repeats with such consistency across history and culture that it constitutes what might be described as a sociological law: when a corrupt structure reaches the accountability threshold, its members defect in cascading sequence, each defection making the next one safer and more probable.

WATERGATE · UNITED STATES · 1972–1974

The Nixon White House operated as a closed institutional system, internally reinforced, with a consistent narrative maintained across participants for eighteen months after the Watergate break-in. The wall broke not with the evidence — the evidence existed — but when John Dean made the calculation that the institution could no longer protect him, and that cooperation with the investigation was a better survival strategy than continued silence (Woodward & Bernstein, 1974). Within months of Dean's testimony, the defection cascade was complete. Every senior official produced a competing narrative about their own relative innocence. The institution that had seemed impenetrable dissolved into competing individual claims of minimal culpability.

ENRON · UNITED STATES · 2001–2004

Sherron Watkins, a vice president inside Enron, sent a memorandum to CEO Kenneth Lay in August 2001 warning that the company "might implode in a wave of accounting scandals" — fourteen months before the collapse occurred (McLean & Elkind, 2003). The rats were moving internally before the external collapse was visible. When the wall fell, it fell completely. Sixteen executives were convicted. The compliance structure had held for years through Festinger's belief-perseverance mechanism — until the moment the social consensus inside the institution shifted and the defection cascade became irreversible.

CATHOLIC CHURCH SEXUAL ABUSE · GLOBAL · 2002 THRESHOLD

The institutional wall in the Catholic Church had been maintained across dioceses, across countries, across decades. The Spotlight team at the Boston Globe broke the visible wall in January 2002. Within two years, 10,667 abuse victims had come forward in the United States alone (John Jay College Research Team, 2004). The defection cascade was not caused by the initial reporting. It was enabled by it. People who had carried information for years found, once the wall was visibly breached, that they could speak. Milgram's compliance threshold had been crossed. The unanimity of institutional silence was no longer available as cover.

LA COSA NOSTRA · UNITED STATES · OMERTÀ COLLAPSE · 1992

For decades, omertà — the code of silence — was treated by organised crime scholars as a near-perfect social technology for institutional self-protection. Salvatore "Sammy the Bull" Gravano's decision to testify against John Gotti in 1992 produced the most detailed insider account of organised crime ever given to American federal prosecutors. Gravano was not uniquely morally motivated. His defection was enabled by the existence of a credible prosecutorial structure with the capacity to protect and reward cooperation. Once he moved, others followed. Omertà did not fail because of weakness in the code. It failed because a credible alternative structure appeared. This is Axelrod's (1984) defection cascade in its purest form.

NUREMBERG · GERMANY · 1945–1946

The most comprehensive historical documentation of Arendt's analysis. Every senior official of the Third Reich, when the institutional structure fell and personal accountability became the operative frame, produced an individual reframing of their role. Hermann Göring maintained his position defiantly; Rudolf Hess claimed amnesia; Albert Speer claimed ignorance; Wilhelm Keitel claimed orders. The structure of the defences was identical across defendants who had operated in completely different institutional contexts. This is not coincidence. It is the Arendt mechanism operating at scale: when the institutional cover dissolves, the individual scrambles to the available defensive narrative, and the available narrative is always some version of: I was inside a system and the system, not I, is responsible.

ROBODEBT · AUSTRALIA · 2023 ROYAL COMMISSION

The most directly relevant Australian precedent. For seven years, the Commonwealth's unlawful automated debt recovery scheme was defended by institutional actors at every level — ministers, public servants, legal advisers. The Royal Commission found that senior officials had actively concealed the scheme's legal vulnerabilities from decision-makers and from the public (Holmes, 2023). Individuals who had known it was unlawful and said so internally did not go public before the Commission was announced. They came forward within the Commission's protection. This is the Braithwaite (1989) mechanism: the existence of a credible accountability structure with protective capacity transforms the psychological calculus from silence as safety to testimony as safety. The $112M forensic economic

> claim against entities documented in this archive is that credible accountability structure.

VIII. Applied to This Archive — Named Trajectories

I want to apply this literature directly to the individuals documented in the 2,304-exhibit archive at barrandodger.com. I do so with the precision that the academic framework supports and with the clarity that a dated, blockchain-sealed record requires.

Sukhi Tear

Formal Dossier — barrandodger.com/sukhi-tear

The primary-source record associates Sukhi Tear with five missing person registrations, police file PD77027, three states, and a documented pattern of proximity to Dr. McLean spanning years. Her name appears in the ICC The Hague submission. The dossier is public. The formal removal is published. Through Braithwaite's (1989) framework, the archive has created maximum social exposure of the documented pattern. The defection dynamic Braithwaite predicts for this level of exposure is one of two responses: intensification of denial — what Festinger calls belief perseverance under disconfirmation — or a reorganisation event. The probability of the former decreases sharply as the proceeding date approaches and the institutional cover that sustained it thins. The Zimbardo re-individuation process — the return to personal moral reasoning when institutional context dissolves — is directly applicable. The institutional context that defined her participation as acceptable is dissolving in real time, at 484,000 downloads per reading.

AblePoint Australia CEO

Recorded Call Transcript — barrandodger.com/ablecare-transcript

The CEO's voice is in the recording. The words are in the transcript. The transcript is downloadable. 484,000 people have had access to it. The words "Laura" and "days

or some weeks" in the context of a documented death threat against a client are now in a public record that cannot be withdrawn. Through Cialdini's (2007) commitment-consistency framework, this individual is in an escalating trap: the longer the denial of the recording's significance is maintained, the larger the cost of any eventual adjustment. The Zimbardo re-individuation mechanism — the return to personal moral reasoning when institutional cover dissolves — applies directly. The NDIS institutional structure that defined the CEO's conduct as procedurally manageable is not intact. The recording is. This individual is approaching the compliance threshold that Milgram identified as the point at which maintained positions become psychologically unsustainable.

Tony Ridley

Recorded Confession — barrandodger.com/tony-ridley-recorded-confession

Unlike the AblePoint CEO, Tony Ridley's position is in the most advanced stage of the defection dynamic. A recorded confession, published and primary-source verified, creates a very narrow range of subsequent responses. Through Milgram's (1974) compliance threshold framework, the institutional permission structure that enabled his participation has been removed by publication. The recording exists. It is public. It is permanent. Axelrod's game-theory analysis of defection cascades is directly applicable: when one participant's position is already documented in a public record, the rational strategy for that individual shifts from protection of the structure to minimisation of individual exposure. The most rational strategy available to him now is one that positions his conduct as the least harmful among the documented actors. Whether or not he takes it, the record establishes the baseline against which any subsequent positioning is measured.

Troy (Tory) Kilbourne

Court Record — Wyong Local Court · Receipt I88267509

Named in court filing. Wyong Local Court. 14 May 2026. Receipt I88267509. The formal legal proceeding creates the condition Axelrod (1984) identified as the defection trigger in repeated game theory: a structure in which the future casts a long

shadow and the calculation of individual outcomes becomes visible to all participants simultaneously. A court date does not only create legal jeopardy. It creates a public moment at which every participant's calculation becomes legible to every other participant. Kilbourne's position relative to the documented evidence is a matter of public record. The proceeding on 14 May 2026 is the accountability mechanism that Braithwaite (1989) identifies as the trigger for psychological reorganisation in individuals connected to documented wrongdoing. What happens next is a predictable application of the game-theoretic framework to a documented factual record.

NSW Trustee and Public Guardian Officials

Financial Management Record — $18M–$32.9M Documented Losses

The financial decisions documented in the archive — $18M to $32.9M in losses across years of financial management, forming part of a $112M forensic economic claim — were made by named individuals in named roles following institutional processes that left paper trails. The paper trails are in the archive. Through Arendt's (1963) banality of evil framework, these are paradigmatic ordinary bureaucratic actors whose institutional cover is dissolving. The Robodebt Royal Commission precedent (Holmes, 2023) is directly applicable: when a credible public accountability mechanism exists, the institutional wall does not hold. The individuals who made specific financial decisions affecting Dr. McLean's documented losses are identifiable from the documents. The gap between the institutional justification for those decisions and the documented outcomes is in the primary-source record. That gap does not close itself. It waits for a proceeding.

Bill Shorten's Staff

Document Strategy — Chapter 12, Administrative Annihilation

The document strategy is in the archive. It was produced in response to the testimony of Dr. Richard William McLean. Its production demonstrates that the testimony was assessed at the political level, taken seriously enough to warrant a strategic response, and that the response was to manage information rather than to investigate its

content. Through Sutherland's (1949) white collar crime framework, this is the paradigmatic institutional response to organisational misconduct allegations: the management of information flow rather than correction of the underlying conduct. The people who produced the strategy are identifiable. The gap between their public-facing response to Dr. McLean's testimony and their internal strategic response is in the primary-source record. That gap does not close itself. It compounds. It is in a blockchain-sealed archive that has been downloaded 484,000 times. The document strategy has become part of the evidence it was designed to manage.

IX. What the Court Date Is Not

Wyong Local Court. 14 May 2026. Receipt I88267509. Troy (Tory) Kilbourne.

I want to say something about what this court date is not.

It is not a spectacle. It is not revenge. It is not a performance designed to produce a particular emotional moment in anyone watching. It is not the 'payback' in a cinematic sense — the moment where everything is resolved and the credits roll and the institutions collapse and the people who caused harm are publicly shamed in real time.

The court date is a legal proceeding. It is one node in a network of accountability mechanisms that includes the ICC The Hague submission, the UNHCR Geneva notification, the $112M forensic economic claim, the Australian Human Rights Commission filing, the 60+ institutional notifications dispatched simultaneously. The court date is significant because it is the first physical, scheduled, documented moment at which named individuals and their institutional affiliations must be present in a public proceeding alongside the evidence.

The rats come before court dates. Not because of the court date itself but because of what the court date represents: the end of the window in which the

story could still be managed privately. Once the proceeding is on the public record, the story is public. Once the evidence is tendered, the evidence is public. Once the names are spoken in open court, the names are public in a way that no archive, however blockchain-sealed, can precisely replicate.

> **The court date is not where I win or lose. The court date is where the building's position in the water becomes visible to people who haven't been watching.**

X. To the Rats, Directly

If you are reading this and you recognise yourself in what I've written — if you were present at events documented in this archive, if you have information that is not yet part of the public record, if you made calculations in the past that you are now revising — I want to speak to you directly.

> **I have no interest in you. I have interest in the truth.**

These are different things. My interest in the truth does not require your destruction. It does not require your exposure for its own sake. It does not require a public reckoning that costs you more than the situation already costs you. What the truth requires is completeness. The archive is 2,304 exhibits. It is comprehensive but it is not omniscient. There are gaps. There are events that happened in rooms without recording equipment. There are decisions that were made verbally and never documented. There are things that people who were present know that no document captures.

If what you know closes a gap in the factual record — if what you know explains a decision, confirms a timeline, places a named person at a documented event — then what you know belongs in the archive. Not because I am powerful and you should fear me. Because the truth belongs in the record, and you know

something true.

The court date creates a legal context in which the addition of testimony to the record carries consequences and protections that exist outside that context. I am not a lawyer and I am not giving legal advice. I am saying that the window in which information can be added to the record without the complications of a live proceeding is finite, and it is closing.

The rats will come. Some of them will bring something true. For those ones: I am not your enemy. I am the person who has been building the place where true things live.

XI. The Rats As Confirmation

Here is the thing about rats that I find most clarifying.

> **You only flee a sinking ship.**

The fact that people are beginning to move — beginning to distance themselves from names they were associated with, beginning to revise their accounts of events, beginning to appear in contexts that suggest they are creating distance between themselves and what the archive documents — is not a problem for the archive. It is a confirmation of the archive.

If the archive were wrong, the rats would not come. If the institutions were right and the testimony were fabricated and the documents were unreliable and the whole thing were the delusion that 14 involuntary hospitalisations were designed to establish as the official explanation — the rats would have nothing to flee. There would be no ship sinking. There would only be a disabled person with a website and a diagnosis and no institutional backing and no future.

Instead, the rats are coming. The distancing is happening. The solicitors' letters are getting more careful. The people who used to speak with certainty are now speaking with qualifications.

> **This is not what happens when the testimony is wrong.**

> **This is what happens when the testimony is right and the world is beginning to know it.**

XII. What Comes After the Rats

After the rats come the witnesses.

The witnesses are different from the rats. The witnesses are people who, having watched the rats move and having done their own assessment of where the evidence stands and where the proceedings are headed, decide not to flee but to testify. To add their name and their account to the public record, not because it is safe or easy or institutionally rewarded but because it is true and they know it is true and they understand that the truth, in this case, has already won.

The truth winning does not look like a dramatic confrontation. It does not look like a villain's confession in a courtroom. It looks like a blockchain hash that cannot be altered. It looks like 484,000 downloads that cannot be undownloaded. It looks like an ICC submission that cannot be unfiled. It looks like a $112M forensic economic claim that cannot be unvalued. It looks like a court date that cannot be uncalendared.

The truth has already won. The proceeding on 14 May 2026 is not the moment it wins. It is the moment it becomes visible to people who weren't watching when it won.

After the rats come the witnesses. After the witnesses come the findings. After

the findings comes the record. And the record — this archive, these 2,304 exhibits, this blockchain-sealed testimony — will exist long after every rat has finished their calculation and every witness has delivered their testimony and every institution has completed its revision of its own story.

> **The rats will come because the building is sinking.**

> **The building is sinking because the truth is what it always was.**

And I have been standing on the shore with a camera for 35 years.

XIII. The Prophetic Prediction — Dated and Signed

FORMAL PREDICTION · SEALED BITCOIN BLOCKCHAIN · 6 MAY 2026 · BEFORE WYONG LOCAL COURT · 14 MAY 2026

I want to make a formal prediction, grounded in the psychological and historical literature set out in this essay. I make it knowing that this prediction, like the rest of this essay, is sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain at hash 3a507d741f6af28bd7653a256a8a5262e4641c7dd45ab645617a000b5afa11dd on 6 May 2026 — before the Wyong Local Court proceedings on 14 May 2026 — and that anyone reading it after the date of its making can compare the prediction to what actually occurred. This is not hope. It is the application of documented mechanism to documented fact.

1. Before 14 May 2026, at least one person connected to the documented events will make contact — with Dr. McLean, his legal representatives, or a media or advocacy organisation — in a manner that signals a realignment of their position relative to the documented record. (Festinger, 1956 — the social consensus fracture precedes the visible collapse.)

2. Within six months of the Wyong Local Court proceedings, at least one institutional body named in the archive will initiate an internal review process whose terms of reference correspond to the subject matter of the archive's claims. (Holmes, 2023 — the Robodebt pattern: internal review precedes public admission.)

3. Within twelve months of the proceedings, at least one person who was party to the documented events and who has not previously spoken publicly will make a statement, in any forum, that materially confirms an aspect of the testimony documented in the archive. (Milgram, 1974 — once the compliance threshold is crossed, testimony follows.)

4. Within eighteen months, the institutional consensus that has maintained the 'delusional' framework as the operative explanation for Dr. McLean's testimony will have fragmented to the point where no named institution is willing to publicly restate it in the terms it was maintained in 2021–2025. (Axelrod, 1984 — defection cascade; Arendt, 1963 — the Nuremberg repositioning sequence.)

5. The $112M forensic economic claim will be formally acknowledged by at least one institutional body as requiring engagement, whether or not that engagement produces a resolution within the prediction window. (Braithwaite, 1989 — shame and social exposure produce institutional response before legal compulsion does.)

6. Sukhi Tear, the AblePoint Australia CEO, Tony Ridley, and the NSW Trustee officials individually named in the archive will each, within twenty-four months, have made at least one public or semi-public statement that revises their previously maintained position — consistent with the Cialdini (2007) commitment-consistency collapse pattern, in which the delayed adjustment is larger and more visible than an early one would have been.

— Dr. Richard William McLean, Barran Dodger. ABN 78 833 496 164. 6 May 2026. Sealed to the Bitcoin blockchain before proceedings.

XIV. A Final Word

I did not write this essay to frighten anyone.

I wrote it because it is true, and because true things belong in the record, and because the people who need to read it will know whether they need to read it before they finish the first paragraph.

If you are a person who has information that belongs in the archive — speak now, or speak soon, or speak through the appropriate legal mechanisms, or speak through the ICC process, or speak through the processes you were trained to know. But speak. The truth is already known. The question is only whether you become part of the record of its knowing.

If you are a person who participated in what the archive documents and who is now revising your account — understand that the blockchain hash is dated 6 May 2026. Your revision is dated after that. The contemporaneous record is already public. The gap between what the documents say happened and what you now say happened is the gap that proceedings close.

If you are a person who is simply watching — who has no direct involvement, who has found this archive through the 484,000 downloads or through the ICC notification or through the Wyong Local Court proceedings or through one of the 22 sacred traditions that corroborate this testimony or through a YouTube forensic analysis or through a link shared by someone who needed you to see it — then understand this:

> **You are watching something that was always going to happen.**

The rats always come. The truth always surfaces. The building always shows, in the end, how far it has sunk.

The only question that was ever open was how long it would take.

It took 35 years.

> **The rats are here.**

— Dr. Richard William McLean, Barran Dodger. ABN 78 833 496 164.

ACADEMIC REFERENCES — APA 7TH EDITION

NAMED EVIDENCE · ALL CLAIMS PRIMARY-SOURCE DOCUMENTED AT BARRANDODGER.COM

- Verdict Before the Court — complete record
- AbleCare Transcript — CEO recorded call
- Sukhi Tear — Formal Dossier
- Tony Ridley — Recorded Confession
- NSW Trustee — Financial Management
- $112M Forensic Economic Valuation
- Police Complicity — Death Threat
- Blockchain Seal Registry — 2,304 exhibits
- Retrospective Statement 1990–2025
- Administrative Annihilation
- Bill Shorten Mental Health Strategy
- Mission — Trust Fund Purpose

BITCOIN BLOCKCHAIN TIMESTAMP · ARCHIVE INTEGRITY · OPENTIMESTAMPS PROTOCOL

HASH: 3a507d741f6af28bd7653a256a8a5262e4641c7dd45ab645617a000b5afa11dd

DATE: 6 May 2026

NETWORK: Bitcoin (OpenTimestamps)

NOTE: Prophetic prediction sealed before proceedings — contemporaneous record

Verify on OpenTimestamps.org

AI-GENERATED ESSAY COVER · FREE TO DOWNLOAD AND SHARE · ABN 78 833 496 164

—

TIMES DOWNLOADED

Download Cover

Season of Payback

Full Evidence Record

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE · VERIFIED LIVE ABN 78 833 496 164

TOTAL VERIFIED DOWNLOADS · MIRRORED FROM LIVE DATABASE

523,498

6 CONTINENTS · ZERO MARKETING · ZERO PR · ZERO INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT

This is the most documented whistleblower archive in Australian history, and one of the most documented in the world. **788 freely distributed PDFs. 891 Bitcoin blockchain timestamps. 2,304 SHA-256 sealed exhibits. 73 independent forensic AI analyses** — 603 propositions assessed across 55 reviews, *zero contradictions*. **35 years** of systemic persecution by **35+ Australian government agencies**. Built by one disabled LGBTQ+ PhD whistleblower with a broken phone — no marketing budget, no legal representation, no political backing, no institutional support, no money.

> *The archive operates on the principle of* **resonance, not proximity**. *A signal travels further than a fist. A blockchain hash travels further than any government denial. The Anthropocene witness does not need to be near power to dismantle it — only to be heard at the frequency of its lie.*

BIBLICAL PROPHECY

Matthew 10:26 — "Nothing covered shall not be revealed." The technical fulfilment: 891 immutable Bitcoin seals.

ANTHROPOCENE WITNESS

A primary-source artefact of the precise inflection point where human institutions, AI, and

blockchain converge into one record.

AI SINGULARITY

55 AI reviews · 603 propositions · zero contradictions. The singularity already arrived through distributed cryptographic verification.

CITE THIS PAGE · ACADEMIC REFERENCE

CHICAGO | **APA** | HARVARD | MLA | VANCOUVER | BIBTEX

McLean, R. W. (2026). The Rats Will Come — Essay. Barran Dodger Legal & Ethical Trust Fund. Retrieved 7 May 2026, from https://www.barrandodger.com/the-rats-will-come

Indexed by Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, Crossref, OpenAlex via embedded Dublin Core + highwire-style citation_* meta tags. ABN 78 833 496 164.

523,498+ VERIFIED DOWNLOADS · LIVE · RESONANCE NOT PROXIMITY

This Archive Runs on Donations Alone

Dr. Richard McLean is in political exile. There is no institution, no government, no NGO funding this. Every document, every hosting cost, every blockchain timestamp is funded by people like you who believe truth must be preserved.

$10

WITNESS

Preserves 5 documents on the blockchain — permanently beyond any court order.

$50

GUARDIAN

Funds one formal ICC Article 7 submission or UNHCR international complaint.

$250

LIBERATOR

Covers one full month of secure hosting, legal research and advocacy operations.

SEND VIA PAYID (AUSTRALIA) · INSTANT · NO FEES

PayID: drbarrandodger@proton.me

Or visit the full Donate page for all options including bank transfer

Barran Dodger Legal & Ethical Trust Fund

ABN 78 833 496 164

Upholding ethical governance, protecting truth-tellers, and converting evidence into public-benefit action.

drbarrandodger@proton.me

+61 431 167 907

@bazdod on X

Essential Reading

→ Start Here

⚡ Divine Reckoning

The Paper

AI Justice Statement
35-Year Timeline

Evidence Archive

📚 **The Testimony Archive — $3.33 Each**

❤️ **Donate**

Archive

Full Archive

The Gospel

Prophetic Papers

Blockchain Timestamps

Legal Status

Evidence Vault

Commission Analysis

Contact

Stay Informed

Receive updates on advocacy work, public records releases, and new evidence.

Email address

Subscribe

OFFICIAL MIRROR SITE — PERMANENT BACKUP

An independently published archive is secured behind Barran's personal 2FA authentication on GitHub, ensuring the evidence remains publicly accessible should any political silencing or financial sabotage ever lead to deletion of this primary site.

drbarrandodger.github.io/barran-dodger-archive

REGISTERED LEGAL ENTITY — AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS REGISTER

Independently verifiable on the Australian Government ABR public registry

ENTITY NAME
The Trustee for www.barrandodger.com.au

ABN
78 833 496 164

ABN STATUS
Active from 07 Aug 2022

ENTITY TYPE
Fixed Unit Trust

MAIN BUSINESS LOCATION
VIC 3173, Australia

RECORD LAST UPDATED
03 Oct 2024

Verify independently at abr.business.gov.au

Record extracted: 24 Apr 2026 · Source: Australian Business Register (ABR)

BLOCKCHAIN-SEALED PAGE ARCHIVE

Download this page as a tamper-proof PDF certificate • Bitcoin-timestamped

COPYRIGHT & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY NOTICE

© 2026 Barran Dodger Legal & Ethical Trust Fund (ABN 78 833 496 164). All Rights Reserved. All content — testimony documents, forensic evidence, gospel writings, blockchain-verified manuscripts, legal correspondence, and all downloadable documents — is protected under Australian and international copyright law.

SOS URGENT — PHYSICAL PROTECTION REQU... READ SOS

"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places." — Ephesians 6:12

Dr. Richard McLean — Dr. Richard McLean — disabled LGBTQ+...

Read full statement

PayID: drbarrandodger@proton.me

PayID | ETH | Donate

Any tampering,
onstitute fraud and will be

rights reserved.
au)

of Use

323,498 DOWNLOADS — 100.0% TO 500K

0 | 0 to go | 500,000

SHARE THIS — HIS SAFETY REQUIRES DISTRIBUTION

Every platform button opens with a unique pre-loaded message, relevant hashtags, and a direct link.
Click a platform to preview exactly what will be shared — then share it.

Barran Dodger — 2,304-Document Whistleblower Archive

ICC | BLOCKCHAIN | FREE

YOU'VE SEEN ENOUGH. SHARE IT.

450,000+ downloads. No marketing. No PR. Just people sharing the truth.

Share | WhatsApp | Copy

https://ww

Preview message

#BLOCKCHAINEVIDENCE #BARRANDODGER
#GOVERNMENTACCOUNTA

COURT DATE 6d 12h 18m 49s